arXiv:0712.0350v1 [hep-th] 3 Dec 2007

# QUANTIZATION OF $\kappa$-DEFORMED FREE FIELDS AND $\kappa$-DEFORMED OSCILLATORS

Marcin Daszkiewicz[1], Jerzy Lukierski[1,2], Mariusz Woronowicz[1]

[1]Institute of Theoretical Physics
University of Wroclaw pl. Maxa Borna 9, 50 − 206 Wroclaw, Poland
e − mail : marcin, lukier, woronow@ift.uni.wroc.pl

[2]Department of Theoretical Physics
University of Valencia, 46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain
e − mail : lukier@ift.uni.wroc.pl

**Abstract**

We describe the deformed E.T. quantization rules for $\kappa$-deformed free quantum fields, and relate these rules with the $\kappa$-deformed algebra of field oscillators.

## 1 Introduction.

Recently, there were considered in several papers [1]-[7] the field quantization rules for canonically deformed QFT, with the noncommutative space-time coordinates satisfying the relation

$$[\,\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu\,] = i\theta_{\mu\nu} \qquad (\theta_{\mu\nu} = \text{const})\ . \tag{1}$$

The deformed QFT can be represented by classical fields if we use the Weyl map $f(\hat{x}) \rightarrow f(x)$ provided that the product of commutative fields at different space-time points $x$, $y$ is given by a bilocal Moyal star product

$$f(x) \star_\theta g(y) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial^{(x)}_\mu\partial^{(y)}_\nu\right] f(x)g(y)\ . \tag{2}$$

The choice of (2) corresponds to the following extension of the relation (1) for a pair $\hat{x}_\mu$, $\hat{y}_\mu$ of noncommutative space-time coordinates

$$[\,\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{y}_\nu\,] = [\,\hat{y}_\mu, \hat{y}_\nu\,] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}\ . \tag{3}$$

The free $\theta^{\mu\nu}$-deformed KG field is described on commutative Minkowski space by standard free action[1]

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial_\mu\varphi_0(x))^2 - m^2\varphi_0(x)^2\right] \ , \tag{4}$$

and we quantize the fields through a deformed E.T. commutation relations

$$[\ \varphi_0(t,\vec{x}),\pi_0(t,\vec{y})\ ]_{\star_\theta} = \varphi_0(t,\vec{x}) \star_\theta \pi_0(t,\vec{y}) - \pi_0(t,\vec{y}) \star_\theta \varphi_0(t,\vec{x}) = i\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \ , \tag{5}$$

where $\pi_0(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_0}{\partial(\partial_t\varphi_0)} = \partial_t\varphi_0(x)$, and

$$[\ \varphi_0(t,\vec{x}),\varphi_0(t,\vec{y})\ ]_{\star_\theta} = [\ \pi_0(t,\vec{x}),\pi_0(t,\vec{y})\ ]_{\star_\theta} = 0 \ . \tag{6}$$

Let us introduce the Fourier transform defining creation and annihilation operators as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}_0(\hat{x}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int d^4p\ A(p)\mathrm{e}^{ip\hat{x}}\ \delta(p^2-m^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{2\omega(\vec{p})}\ \left(a(\vec{p})\ \mathrm{e}^{ip\hat{x}} + a^\dagger(\vec{p})\ \mathrm{e}^{-ip\hat{x}}\right)\Big|_{p_0=\omega(\vec{p})} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\omega(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2+m^2}$ and $a(\vec{p}) = A(\omega(\vec{p}),\vec{p})$, $a^\dagger(\vec{p}) = A(-\omega(\vec{p}),-\vec{p})$. In order to obtain the relations (5), (6) one should postulate that the oscillators $a(\vec{p})$, $a^\dagger(\vec{p})$ satisfy the following deformed algebra

$$[\ a^\dagger(\vec{p}),a(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\theta} = 2\omega(\vec{p})\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) \ , \tag{8}$$

$$[\ a(\vec{p}),a(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\theta} = [\ a^\dagger(\vec{p}),a^\dagger(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\theta} = 0 \ , \tag{9}$$

with multiplication $\circ_\theta$ defined by

$$a(\vec{p}) \circ_\theta a(\vec{q}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}p\theta q}a(\vec{q})a(\vec{p}) \ , \tag{10}$$
$$a^\dagger(\vec{p}) \circ_\theta a^\dagger(\vec{q}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{i}{2}p\theta q}a^\dagger(\vec{q})a^\dagger(\vec{p}) \ , \tag{11}$$
$$a^\dagger(\vec{p}) \circ_\theta a(\vec{q}) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}p\theta q}a(\vec{q})a^\dagger(\vec{p}) \ , \tag{12}$$
$$a(\vec{p}) \circ_\theta a^\dagger(\vec{q}) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}p\theta q}a^\dagger(\vec{q})a(\vec{p}) \ , \tag{13}$$

Using

$$e^{ipx} \star_\theta e^{iqy} = e^{i(px+qy)-\frac{i}{2}p\theta q} \ , \tag{14}$$

one gets that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x) \star_\theta \varphi(y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^4p \int d^4q\ \delta(p^2-m^2)\delta(q^2-m^2)A(p)A(q)\ e^{ipx} \star_\theta e^{iqy} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^4p \int d^4q\ \delta(p^2-m^2)\delta(q^2-m^2)A(p) \circ_\theta A(q)\ e^{i(px+qy)} \\ &:= \varphi(x) \circ_\theta \varphi(y) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

---

[1] One can show that $\int d^4x f(x) \star_\theta f(x) = \int d^4x f(x)f(x)$; other argument is that the $\theta^{\mu\nu}$-deformation is generated by twisted Poincare algebra [2]-[7], with unmodified mass and spin square Casimirs.

and from the relations (8), (9) follows that

$$[\, \varphi_0(x), \varphi_0(y)\, ]_{\circ_\theta} = i\Delta(x-y;m^2)\ , \tag{16}$$

where on rhs we obtain the fourdimensional classical Pauli-Jordan commutator function. We see that in field-theoretic framework the noncommutativity of space-time coordinates described by the star product (2) we express equivalently by the deformation (8)-(14) of the oscillator algebra.

In this note we would like to present similar construction describing the quantization of $\kappa$-deformed free field [8],[9]. We would like however to point out that:

i) The $\kappa$-deformation modifies the free action of the scalar field; the deformed KG operator will be given by the $\kappa$-deformed mass Casimir,

ii) The fourmomentum coproduct for $\kappa$-Poincare algebra is deformed. Keeping this nonsymmetric addition law it is desirable however to introduce the particle states with classical addition law of their fourmomenta,

iii) The quantization rules should lead to the quantum field satisfying some sort of deformed $\kappa$-causality condition. The $\kappa$-deformations do not preserve the notion of standard light cone. We shall derive that the quantization rules for free noncommutative scalar field should reproduce the $\kappa$-deformation of the formula (16)

$$\Delta(x;m^2) \rightarrow \Delta_\kappa(x;m^2) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^4p\ \epsilon(p_0)\ \delta\left(C_2^\kappa(p) - m^2\right) \mathrm{e}^{ipx}\ , \tag{17}$$

where $C_2^\kappa(p)$ describes the $\kappa$-deformed mass Casimir of $\kappa$-Poincare Hopf algebra, and $\Delta_\kappa(x;m^2)$ is an example of $\kappa$-causal function.

## 2 Free field on $\kappa$-deformed space-time, quantization rules and $\kappa$-deformed oscillators algebra.

In this note we shall use modified, so-called symmetric bicrossproduct basis [10]-[11] of $\kappa$-Poincare algebra [12]-[14], with standard $\kappa$-deformed mass-shell [13]

$$C_\kappa^2(\vec{P}, P_0) = \left(2\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}\right)\right)^2 - \vec{P}^2\ , \tag{18}$$

providing classical formulae for the coinverse ($S(I_i) = -I_i\ ;\ I_i = P_\mu, M_{\mu\nu}$). The Abelian Hopf subalgebra of fourmomenta ($[\, P_\mu, P_\nu\, ] = 0$) is endowed with the coproduct

$$\Delta(P_0) = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0 \quad , \quad \Delta(P_i) = P_i \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes P_i\ , \tag{19}$$

and dual Hopf subalgebra, describing $\kappa$-deformed Minkowski space-time [15],[14],[16], has a form

$$[\, \hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i\, ] = \frac{i}{\kappa}\hat{x}_i \quad , \quad [\, \hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j\, ] = 0 \quad ; \quad \Delta(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hat{x}_\mu\ . \tag{20}$$

The $\kappa$-deformed free quantum scalar field on noncommutative Minkowski space (20) is given by the following noncommutative Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(\hat{x}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int d^4p\ A(p_0,\vec{p})\ \delta\left(C_\kappa^2(\vec{p},p_0) - M^2\right) \vdots\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots = \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{2\Omega_\kappa(\vec{p})}\ \left(a(\vec{p})\ \vdots\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots + a^\dagger(\vec{p})\ \vdots\mathrm{e}^{-ip_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots\right)\Big|_{p_0=\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where we have chosen

$$\vdots\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu \hat{x}^\mu}\vdots = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}p_0\hat{x}^0}\mathrm{e}^{ip_i\hat{x}^i}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{2}p_0\hat{x}^0} \ , \tag{22}$$

and performing mass-shell Dirac delta we get

$$\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) = 2\kappa\ \mathrm{arcsinh}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}^2+M^2}}{2\kappa}\right) \quad , \quad \Omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) = \kappa \sinh\left(\frac{\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})}{\kappa}\right) \ , \tag{23}$$

$$a_\kappa(\vec{p}) = A(\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}),\vec{p}) \quad , \quad a^\dagger_\kappa(\vec{p}) = A(-\omega_\kappa(\vec{p}),-\vec{p}) \ . \tag{24}$$

In order to represent the algebra of noncommutative fields (21) by the classical ones, on commutative Minkowski space, one should introduce the homomorphic $\star_\kappa$-multiplication on classical functions obtained by the Weyl map $\vdots\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots \to \mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu x^\mu}$. Because

$$\vdots\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots \cdot \vdots\mathrm{e}^{iq_\mu\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots = \vdots\mathrm{e}^{i\Delta_\mu(p,q)\hat{x}^\mu}\vdots \, , \tag{25}$$

with (see (19))

$$\Delta_\mu(p,q) = \left(\Delta_0 = p_0 + q_0,\ \Delta_i = p_i\mathrm{e}^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} + q_i\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}\right) \ , \tag{26}$$

the $\star_\kappa$-product reproducing multiplication (25) has a form

$$\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu x^\mu} \star_\kappa \mathrm{e}^{iq_\mu y^\mu} = \mathrm{e}^{i(p_0x^0+q_0y^0)+\Delta_i(\vec{p},\vec{q})x^i} \ . \tag{27}$$

We extend the multiplication (27) to bilocal products of functions as follows

$$\mathrm{e}^{ip_\mu x^\mu} \star_\kappa \mathrm{e}^{iq_\mu y^\mu} = \mathrm{e}^{i(p_0x^0+q_0y^0)+(p_i\mathrm{e}^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}}x^i + q_i\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}y^i)} \ , \tag{28}$$

where the formula (28) corresponds to the following cross relations of two $\kappa$-Minkowski coordinates [8],[9]

$$\begin{aligned} [\,x_0, y_i\,] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}y_i \quad , \quad [\,x_0, y_0\,] = 0 \ , \\ [\,x_i, y_i\,] &= 0 \quad , \quad [\,x_i, y_0\,] = -\frac{i}{\kappa}x_i \ . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We introduce the $\kappa$-star product of two free classical fields in the following way

$$\varphi(x)\star_\kappa\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^4p \int d^4q\ A(p_0,\vec{p})A(q_0,\vec{q}) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{i(p_0x^0+q_0y^0)+(p_i\mathrm{e}^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}}x^i + q_i\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}y^i)}$$

$$\delta(C^2_\kappa(\vec{p}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}}, p_0) - M^2)\ \delta(C^2_\kappa(\vec{q}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}, q_0) - M^2) \ . \tag{30}$$

In the definition (30) besides the use of formula (28) we also additionally shift the value of threemomentum variable in the mass-shell conditions, in accordance with the threemomentum values obtained in the coproduct (26). One can also observe that the action of the fourmomentum generator on the products of oscillators and exponentials in (30) has the form

$$P_\mu \triangleright [A(p)A(q)] = \chi_\mu[A(p)A(q)] \, , \qquad P_\mu \triangleright [e^{ipx}\star_\kappa e^{iqy}] = \chi_\mu[e^{ipx}\star_\kappa e^{iqy}] \, , \tag{31}$$

and it is described by the coproduct (19) ($P_\mu \triangleright [fg] = \omega \circ \Delta(P_\mu)(f \otimes g)$ and $\omega(f \otimes g) = fg$). One gets that the eigenvalues in both relations (31) are the same

$$\chi_0 = p_0 + q_0 \, , \qquad \chi_i = p_i e^{q_0/2\kappa} + q_i e^{-p_0/2\kappa} \, , \tag{32}$$

where $P_\mu \triangleright A(p) = p_\mu A(p)$ and $P_\mu \triangleright e^{ipx} = p_\mu e^{ipx}$.

The multiplication (30) of the $\kappa$-deformed free fields after the change of variables

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = p_0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{P}_i = p_i \mathrm{e}^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \quad , \quad \mathcal{Q}_0 = q_0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{Q}_i = q_i \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \, . \tag{33}$$

leads to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) \star_\kappa \varphi(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4\mathcal{P} \int d^4\mathcal{Q} \; \mathrm{e}^{\frac{3(\mathcal{P}_0 - \mathcal{Q}_0)}{2\kappa}} A(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}} \mathcal{P}_i) A(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}} \mathcal{Q}_i) \\ \cdot \, \mathrm{e}^{i(\mathcal{P}_0 x^0 + \mathcal{Q}_0 y^0) + (\mathcal{P}_i x^i + \mathcal{Q}_i y^i)} \delta(C^2_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P}_0) - M^2) \; \delta(C^2_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{Q}_0) - M^2) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We see that if one introduces the following $\kappa$-deformed multiplication for creation/anihilation operators (24) ($F^{(2)}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{3}{2\kappa}(\mathcal{P}_0 - \mathcal{Q}_0)}$)

$$a_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \circ_\kappa a_\kappa(\mathcal{Q}) \;=\; F^{(2)}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) \; a_\kappa \left(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{P}}\right) a_\kappa \left(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{Q}}\right) \, , \tag{35}$$

$$a^\dagger_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \circ_\kappa a^\dagger_\kappa(\mathcal{Q}) \;=\; F^{(2)}_\kappa(-\mathcal{P}_0, -\mathcal{Q}_0) \; a^\dagger_\kappa \left(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{P}}\right) a^\dagger_\kappa \left(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{Q}}\right) \, , \tag{36}$$

$$a^\dagger_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \circ_\kappa a_\kappa(\mathcal{Q}) \;=\; F^{(2)}_\kappa(-\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0) \; a^\dagger_\kappa \left(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{P}}\right) a_\kappa \left(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{Q}}\right) \, , \tag{37}$$

$$a_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \circ_\kappa a^\dagger_\kappa(\mathcal{Q}) \;=\; F^{(2)}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}_0, -\mathcal{Q}_0) \; a_\kappa \left(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{P}}\right) a^\dagger_\kappa \left(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{\mathcal{Q}}\right) \, , \tag{38}$$

by using the multiplication rules (35)-(38) for any field operators pair we get [9]

$$\varphi(x) \star_\kappa \varphi(y) = \varphi(x) \circ_\kappa \varphi(y) \, . \tag{39}$$

Let us now define the $\kappa$-deformed canonical momentum as follows

$$\pi(t, \vec{x}) = \partial^\kappa_t \varphi(t, \vec{x}) \, , \tag{40}$$

where

$$\partial^\kappa_t \equiv i\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial_t}{\kappa}\right) = \kappa \sin\left(\frac{\partial_t}{\kappa}\right) \quad \xrightarrow[\kappa \to \infty]{} \quad \partial_t \, , \tag{41}$$

denotes the $\kappa$-deformed "quantum" derivative in time direction[2]. We perform quantization of the $\kappa$-deformed fields through the following deformed E.T. commutation relations

$$[\, \varphi(t, \vec{x}), \pi(t, \vec{y}) \,]_{\star_\kappa} = \varphi(t, \vec{x}) \star_\kappa \pi(t, \vec{y}) - \pi(t, \vec{y}) \star_\kappa \varphi(t, \vec{x}) = i\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \, , \tag{42}$$

---

[2] In fact the quantum time derivative (41) in bicovariant differential calculus for $\kappa$-deformed Poincare symmetries [17] has additional term ($\partial^\kappa_t = \kappa \sin\left(\frac{\partial_t}{\kappa}\right) + i\frac{\Delta}{2\kappa}$) but the second term does not provide any contribution to the equal time limit (42).

and

$$[\ \varphi(t,\vec{x}),\varphi(t,\vec{y})\ ]_{\star_\kappa}=[\ \pi(t,\vec{x}),\pi(t,\vec{y})\ ]_{\star_\kappa}=0\ . \tag{43}$$

From the relations (42) and (43) one gets the field oscillators (24) satisfying the following $\kappa$-deformed algebra ($[\ A,B\ ]_{\circ_\kappa}=A\circ_\kappa B-B\circ_\kappa A$)

$$[\ a^\dagger(\vec{p}),a(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\kappa}=2\Omega_\kappa(\vec{p})\ \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}-\vec{q})\ , \tag{44}$$

$$[\ a(\vec{p}),a(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\kappa}=[\ a^\dagger(\vec{p}),a^\dagger(\vec{q})\ ]_{\circ_\kappa}=0\ . \tag{45}$$

In fact, using the formula (39) and CCR relations (44), (45) one derives the fourdimensional $\kappa$-deformed Pauli-Jordan commutator function (see (17))

$$[\ \varphi_0(x),\varphi_0(y)\ ]_{\star_\kappa}=i\Delta_\kappa(x-y;m^2)\ , \tag{46}$$

with the following properties

$$\Delta_\kappa(x-y;M^2)|_{x_0=y_0}=0\quad,\quad\partial^\kappa_t\Delta_\kappa(x-y;M^2)|_{x_0=y_0}=\delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\ . \tag{47}$$

We see that similarly as in the case of $\theta^{\mu\nu}$-deformation (see (5), (6)), we recover E.T. relations (42) and (43) for $\kappa$-deformed free field and its canonical momentum (40).

## 3 $\kappa$-oscillators algebra and Abelian fourmomenta addition law.

The definition of binary $\circ_\kappa$-product of $\kappa$-oscillators can be extended to any monomial of creation and annihilation operators [8],[9]. The n-fold product of $\kappa$-deformed oscillators looks as follows[3]

$$a_\kappa(p^{(1)})\circ\cdots\circ a_\kappa(p^{(n)})=F^{(n)}_\kappa(p^{(1)}_0,\ldots,p^{(n)}_0)a_\kappa\left(p^{(1)}_0,\vec{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}_n\right)\cdots a_\kappa\left(p^{(n)}_0,\vec{\mathcal{P}}^{(n)}_n\right)\ , \tag{48}$$

with

$$\vec{\mathcal{P}}^{(k)}_n=\exp\frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}p^{(j)}_0-\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}p^{(j)}_0\right)\vec{p}^{\ (k)}\ , \tag{49}$$

and

$$F^{(n)}_\kappa(p^{(1)}_0,\ldots,p^{(n)}_0)=\exp\left(\frac{3}{2\kappa}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(n+1-2k)p^{(k)}_0\right)\ . \tag{50}$$

Let us introduce the vacuum in standard way

$$a^\dagger_\kappa(\vec{p})|0>=0\quad,\quad P_\mu\,|0>=0\ , \tag{51}$$

where $P_\mu$ is the fourmomentum generator. The n-particle state we define by

$$|\vec{p}^{\ (1)},\ldots,\vec{p}^{\ (k)},\ldots,\vec{p}^{\ (n)}>=a_\kappa(p^{(1)})\circ_\kappa\ldots\circ_\kappa a_\kappa(p^{(k)})\circ_\kappa\ldots\circ_\kappa a_\kappa(p^{(n)})|0>\ . \tag{52}$$

---

[3] The formula (48) if extended to negative energies describes also the product of annihilation operators (see (24)).

Let us consider in detail the two-particle state

$$|\vec{p},\vec{q}>= a_\kappa(p_0,\vec{p}) \circ_\kappa a_\kappa(q_0,\vec{q})|0>= a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}}(\vec{p},q_0))\, a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}}(\vec{q},p_0))|0> , \tag{53}$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{P}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}}\vec{p} \quad , \quad \vec{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}\vec{q} \, . \tag{54}$$

Using the formula ($\mathcal{Q}_0 = q_0,\ \mathcal{P}_0 = p_0$)

$$\begin{aligned} P_i \triangleright \Big[ a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}})\, a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}}) \Big] &= \omega\left(\Delta(P_i)(a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}}) \otimes a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}}))\right) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathcal{Q}_0}{2\kappa}}\mathcal{P}_i + \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathcal{P}_0}{2\kappa}}\mathcal{Q}_i\right)(a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}})\, a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}})) \qquad (55) \\ &= (p_i + q_i)\, a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{P}})\, a_\kappa(\vec{\mathcal{Q}}) \, , \qquad (56) \end{aligned}$$

one gets

$$P_i\, |\vec{p},\vec{q}>= (p_i + q_i)|\vec{p},\vec{q}> , \tag{57}$$

as well, using (45)

$$|\vec{p},\vec{q}>= |\vec{q},\vec{p}> \ . \tag{58}$$

We see that the vector (53) is characterized by standard properties of bosonic 2-particle state. We add also that

i) The Abelian addition law (57) and the bosonic symmetry property (58) as valid as well for arbitrary n-particle state (52) [8],[9],

ii) The complete algebra of $\kappa$-deformed oscillators is formulated [8],[9] in a way consistent with the associativity property of the $\circ_\kappa$-multiplication.

## 4 Discussion.

In this paper we propose the quantization rules for free $\kappa$-deformed field theory by introducing the suitably chosen $\star_\kappa$-product of $\kappa$-fields (34) and $\circ_\kappa$-multiplication of field oscillators (35)-(38). We show that the $\kappa$-deformed creation and annihilation operators satisfy the canonical commutation relations with respect to the multiplication $\circ_\kappa$ (see (44) and (45)). In such a way we arrive at the $\kappa$-deformed counterpart of the canonical field quantization procedure (see (42) and (43)).

Let us observe that recently the idea of $\kappa$-statistic changing the momentum dependence of the transposed states was considered in [18],[19]. In [18] there is constructed an alternative basis for $\kappa$-deformed Fock space, without considering the respective algebra of $\kappa$-deformed oscillators. An interesting idea was proposed in [19]. In [8] we started from the following general form of the $\kappa$-deformed commutativity of the creation operators

$$\begin{aligned} &F_\kappa(p,q)a_\kappa(f_0(p,q),\vec{f}(p,q))a_\kappa\left(g_0(p,q),\vec{g}(p,q)\right) = \\ &\qquad = G_\kappa(q,p)a_\kappa(h_0(p,q),\vec{h}(p,q))a_\kappa(k_0(p,q),\vec{k}(p,q)) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

In [8] we have chose $F_\kappa = G_\kappa = 1$ and have selected the functions $f_\mu$, $g_\mu$, $h_\mu$, $k_\mu$ in a simplest way consistent with $\kappa$-deformed addition law for fourmomenta and fourmomentum conservation law. In [19] additionally it was assumed that the relation (59) is covariant under $\kappa$-Poincare boost transformations. It appears that the $\kappa$-covariant choice of the functions occuring in (59) exist, and satisfy quite involved equations, solved only perturbatively with respect to powers $\frac{1}{\kappa}$. We would like to add here that in our approach we transfer the problem of $\kappa$-covariance of the formalism to the field-theoretic level (see [9]) where we provided the $\kappa$-covariant commutator for $\kappa$-deformed free fields.

It should be noted that our $\kappa$-deformed multiplication rules proposed firstly in [8] are based on nonstandard idea of the dependence of the threemomentum of one particle on energies of other particles present in the system. This dependence is obtained in such a way that one can introduce the n-particle states which are symmetric and with classical Abelian addition law for the particle fourmomenta. One can interprete this energy dependence as a geometric interference of free field quanta in the trans-Planckian region - in other words we conjecture that one gets a sort of purely geometric interaction between particles as a kinematical effect caused by the space-time noncommutativity [20].

Finally, it should be pointed out that our considerations in this note are restricted to the case of free fields only. Our main aim is to obtain the perturbative framework for the $\kappa$-deformed local field theory, but this problem is still under investigation.

# References

[1] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J. E. Roberts, Comm. Math. Phys. 172, 187 (1995)

[2] M, Chaichian, P. Kulish, K. Nishyima, A. Tureanu, Phys. Lett B 609, 98 (2004)

[3] A. P. Balachandran, A. Pinzul, B. A. Quereshi, Phys. Lett. B 634, 434 (2006)

[4] P. P. Kulish, "Twist of quantum groups and noncommutative field theory"; hep-th/0606056

[5] A. Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B 638, 296 (2006)

[6] Y. Abbe, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A 22, 1181 (2007)

[7] G. Fiore and J. Wess, Phys. Rev. D 75: 105022 (2007)

[8] M. Daszkiewicz, J. Lukierski, M. Woronowicz, "$\kappa$-deformed statistics and classical fourmomentum addition law"; hep-th/0703200

[9] M. Daszkiewicz, J. Lukierski, M. Woronowicz, "Towards quantum noncommutative $\kappa$-deformed field theory"; arXiv: 0708.1561 [hep-th]

[10] A. Agostini, F. Lizzi, A. Zampini, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 2105 (2002)

[11] A. Agostini, "Fields and symmetries in kappa-Minkowski noncommutative spacetime", Ph.D. Thesis; hep-th/0312305

[12] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 264, 91 (1991)

[13] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 293, 344 (1992)

[14] S. Majid, H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 329, 189 (1994)

[15] S. Zakrzewski, J. Phys. A 27 2075 (1994)

[16] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, W.J. Zakrzewski, Ann. of Phys. 243, 90 (1995)

[17] S. Giller, C. Gonera, P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, Acta. Phys. Pol. B 27, 2171 (1996)

[18] M. Arzano, A. Marciano, "Fock space, quantum fields and kappa-Poincare symmetries"; arXiv: 0707.1329 [hep-th]

[19] C.A.S. Young, R. Zegers, "Covariant particle statistics and intertwiners of the kappa-deformed Poincare algebra"; arXiv:0711.2206 [hep-th]

[20] J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, Proecidings of Quantum Group Symposium at Group 21 (July 1996, Goslar) Eds. H.D. Doebner and V.K. Dobrev, Heron Press, Sophia, p.186 (1997)